

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,154	08/26/2003		Gerald George Pechanek	1380001	3684	
27997	7590	09/26/2006		EXAMINER		
PRIEST &			PAN, DANIEL H			
5015 SOUT SUITE 230	HPARK DI	RIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM,	DURHAM, NC 27713-7736 2183					
				DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/648,154	PECHANEK, GERA	LD GEORGE		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Daniel Pan	2183			
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication comm					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH			andonment of		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on).				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nlianas with 27 OFD 44 27 must be	s filad within two same	4h64h- data		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS	had make to the date of filling a bala	£			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	, ,,	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>17-24 and 26-41</u> canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>26-41</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>16-24</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,6-15 and 42-44. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. L. Ottiei					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly raised issues are the splited program into the set of control structure instructions including the selected set of address including instructions, the assigning to each AL instructions of a second AL instruction type in the reduced set of AL instructions an address in a second AL memory, the generation of the instruction fectch instructions in the order determined from the second set of control structure instructions, the second instruction type which has a second IF instruction format which contains information to identify for parallel execution at least one assigned address of at least one AL instruction from the first AL memory and at least one assigned address of at least one AL instruction from the second AL memory, and the reduced set of AL instructions at assigned address (claim 1).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments to claim 1 is greately appreciated. However, the limitations of claim 1 and claim 17 are different . Furthermore, substantiual changes have been made to claim 1 (see NOTE above), which would require further search and consideration at this point.

2